



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/530,954		05/16/2000	YASUO NISHIZAWA	P65391USO	3359
136	7590	06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.				CHOUDHARY, ANITA	
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				2153	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/530.954 NISHIZAWA, YASUO Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Anita Choudhary 2153 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☐ Other:

Advisory Action

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 12-28.

Part of Paper No. 06172004

SUPERVISORY PAZENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 12 and 21 have been amended to included an "interlocking HTTP protocol", which was not presented before. This new limitation would require further search and/or consideration. Examiner would like to point out that this new limitation, if added would have no clear anteceded basis in the claim language. Applicant is advised to be mindful of this observation. Futhermore, in claim 12, new limitations from canceled claim 13 have been added to claim 12. This new limitation would require further search and/or consideration in regards to dependent claims in light of the newly amened independent claim 12.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY